

A connectedness theorem for products of weighted projective spaces*

Lucian Bădescu and Flavia Repetto

Abstract

We prove a connectedness result for products of weighted projective spaces.

Introduction

Let P be a projective irreducible variety and let $f: X \rightarrow P \times P$ be a morphism from a complete irreducible variety X over an algebraically closed field k . Denote by Δ the diagonal of $P \times P$. Then one can ask under which conditions the inverse image $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is connected (resp. non-empty). Here by a connected scheme we shall mean a non-empty scheme whose underlying topological space is connected. The first result in this direction is the famous theorem of Fulton-Hansen which states that the answer to this question is affirmative if $P = \mathbb{P}^n$ and if $\dim(f(X)) > n$ (resp. if $\dim(f(X)) \geq n$) (see [8], cf. also [5] or [9]). This result has a lot of interesting geometric consequences (loc. cit.).

The theorem of Fulton-Hansen has been subsequently generalized in various directions. First Hansen proved that $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is connected (resp. non-empty) if $P = \mathbb{G}(d, n)$ is the Grassmann variety of linear d -spaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and if $\text{codim}_{P \times P}(f(X)) < n$ (resp. $\text{codim}_{P \times P}(f(X)) \leq n$) see [13].

A quite general connectivity result was proved by Faltings (see [7]) if P is an arbitrary projective rational homogeneous space over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In a slightly improved formulation (via [10]) it states that $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is connected (respectively, non-empty) if $\text{codim}_{P \times P}(f(X)) < \text{ca}(P)$ (resp. $\text{codim}_{P \times P}(f(X)) \leq \text{ca}(P)$) (see [7] and [10]). Here $\text{ca}(P)$ is the coapleness of P , defined as follows (see [10]). Since P is a homogeneous space, the tangent bundle T_P of P is generated by its global sections; this implies that the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(T_P)}(1)$ is also generated by its global sections. Then one defines the ampleness, $\text{amp}(P)$, of P as the maximum fiber dimension of the morphism $\varphi: \mathbb{P}(T_P) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ associated to the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(T_P)}(1)|$. Then one defines the coapleness $\text{ca}(P)$ of P by $\text{ca}(P) := \dim(P) - \text{amp}(P)$. A result of Goldstein ([10]) asserts that $\text{ca}(P) \geq r$, where r is the minimum of ranks of the simple factors of the linear algebraic group G acting transitively on P ; in particular, $\text{ca}(P) \geq 1$. For example, $\text{ca}(\mathbb{P}^n) = n$ (or more generally, $\text{ca}(\mathbb{G}(d, n)) = n$) and $\text{ca}(\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n) = n$, if $m \geq n \geq 1$. In particular, if $P = \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$, then Faltings' result asserts that $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is connected (respectively, non-empty) if $\text{codim}_{P \times P}(f(X)) < n$ (resp. $\text{codim}_{P \times P}(f(X)) \leq n$).

*2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14C17, 14M17; Secondary 14E22.

Keywords and phrases. Weighted projective spaces, connectedness theorems, cohomological dimension, join construction.

More recently Debarre proved two interesting connectivity results, when P is either a product of projective spaces or a Grassmann variety (see [4]). By imposing some extra (geometric) conditions his results go beyond the bound $\text{codim}_{P \times P}(f(X)) < n$, in the case $P = \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$, or below Hansen's bound in the case $P = \mathbb{G}(d, n)$.

Finally, in [1] (see also [2], Theorem 7.14) the first named author showed (among other things) that Fulton-Hansen connectivity result still holds if $P = \mathbb{P}^n(e)$ is an n -dimensional weighted projective space of weights $e = (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n)$ ($e_i \geq 1$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$), provided that $\dim(f(X)) > n$.

To state our main result, let P denote the product of the weighted projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^m(e)$ and $\mathbb{P}^n(h)$ of weights $e = (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_m)$ and $h = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n)$, with $e_i, h_j \geq 1$, $i = 0, \dots, m$ and $j = 0, \dots, n$. Let $f: X \rightarrow P \times P$ be a morphism from a complete irreducible variety X . Denote by $X_{13} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^m(e)$ (resp. by $X_{24} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(h) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$) the image of $f(X)$ under the projection p_{13} of $P \times P = \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$ onto $\mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^m(e)$ (resp. under the projection p_{24} onto $\mathbb{P}^n(h) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$). The aim of this paper is to prove the following:

Theorem. (Theorem 2.1 below) *In the above notation let $P = \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$ be the product of the weighted projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^m(e)$ and $\mathbb{P}^n(h)$ over an algebraically closed field k , let Δ be the diagonal of $P \times P$ and set $a := \max\{m + \dim(X_{24}), n + \dim(X_{13})\}$. If $\dim(f(X)) \geq a$ then $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is non-empty, and if $\dim(f(X)) > a$ then $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is connected.*

In the case when $P = \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$ is a product of ordinary projective spaces in Debarre's result (see [4], Théorème 2.2 and Lemme 2.1) the hypotheses are the following:

- i) $\dim(X_{13}) > m$ and $\dim(X_{24}) > n$ (resp. $\dim(X_{13}) \geq m$ and $\dim(X_{24}) \geq n$), and
- ii) $\dim(f(X)) > m + n$ (resp. $\dim(f(X)) \geq m + n$).

As is easily seen the hypothesis in our theorem implies i) and ii), and therefore our result, in the case of a product of ordinary projective spaces, is weaker than Debarre's result.

The above theorem has the following consequence:

Corollary. *Let $f: X \rightarrow P \times P$ be a morphism, with X a complete irreducible variety, $P = \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$ and $m \geq n \geq 1$, such that $\text{codim}_{P \times P}(f(X)) < n$ (resp. $\text{codim}_{P \times P}(f(X)) \leq n$). Then $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is connected (resp. non-empty).*

Notice that this corollary recovers the above mentioned result of Faltings in the case when the homogeneous space P is a product of ordinary projective spaces over a field of characteristic zero.

One motivation for proving connectivity theorems for products of weighted projective spaces lies in the fact that there are several interesting varieties which can be embedded in a nicer way in some weighted projective spaces than in any ordinary projective space. Our approach is completely different from Debarre's (see [4]). In fact, Debarre proceeds as in [8] (see also [9]) proving first a Bertini theorem for general linear sections and then deduces the connectivity of all linear sections by an argument of degeneration. This method does not seem to apply in the case of weighted projective spaces. Our basic technical ingredient is Hartshorne's cohomological dimension (see [15], cf. also [14]).

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section, after recalling the definition and a few basic facts about the cohomological dimension of an algebraic variety and about

weighted projective spaces, we present some preparatory material that will be needed in section 2. In the second section we prove the theorem stated above.

Unless otherwise specified, we shall use the standard terminology and notation in algebraic geometry. We shall work over an algebraically closed ground field k of arbitrary characteristic.

1 Background material

Definition 1.1 Let Z be an irreducible algebraic variety over k . We shall denote by $\text{Coh}(Z)$ the category of all coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -modules. According to Hartshorne [15] (see also [14]), we define the *cohomological dimension of Z* , $\text{cd}(Z)$, by the following

$$\text{cd}(Z) = \max\{n \geq 0 \mid \exists \mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(Z) \text{ such that } H^n(Z, \mathcal{F}) \neq 0\}.$$

By a general result of Grothendieck one has $0 \leq \text{cd}(Z) \leq \dim(Z)$. Moreover, by Serre's criterion of affineness, $\text{cd}(Z) = 0$ if and only if Z is affine.

Now we recall some basic results involving cohomological dimension which are going to be used in the next section. An important result due to Hartshorne and Lichtenbaum (see [11], [15], or also [18] for a more elementary proof) is the following:

Theorem 1.2 (Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum) *Let X be an irreducible projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$, let Y be a closed subset of X and set $U := X \setminus Y$. Then $\text{cd}(U) \leq n - 1$ if and only if $Y \neq \emptyset$.*

Another important result is the following (if X is smooth, see [14], Corollary 3.9; for the general case see [1], appendix, or also [2], Theorem 7.6).

Theorem 1.3 *In the notation of Theorem 1.2 assume that X is an irreducible projective variety of dimension $n \geq 2$ such that $\text{cd}(U) \leq n - 2$. Then Y is connected.*

The following corollary of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 is going to be our basic technical tool in proving the main result stated in the introduction.

Corollary 1.4 *Let Y be a closed subset of a projective irreducible variety X of dimension $n \geq 2$ and set $U := X \setminus Y$. Let $f: X' \rightarrow X$ be a finite surjective morphism, with X' irreducible. Then $f^{-1}(Y)$ is non-empty if $\text{cd}(U) \leq n - 1$, and connected if $\text{cd}(U) \leq n - 2$.*

Proof. Set $U' := f^{-1}(U)$. Then $f|_{U'}: U' \rightarrow U$ is a finite surjective morphism, whence by a general simple property (see [15], Proposition 1.1), $\text{cd}(U') = \text{cd}(U)$. Then the conclusion follows from Theorems 1.3 and 1.2 applied to $(X', f^{-1}(Y))$. $\square$

We shall record for further use the following two well known elementary results:

Lemma 1.5 ([14], Ex. 3.11 page 103) *For every quasi-projective varieties V and W one has $\text{cd}(V \times W) = \text{cd}(V) + \text{cd}(W)$.*

Lemma 1.6 *Let $\psi: V \rightarrow W$ be an open morphism of quasi-projective varieties. If W and all fibers of ψ are irreducible, then V is also irreducible.*

Finally we shall also need the following result:

Lemma 1.7 ([16], Lemma 4.7) *Let U_1 and U_2 be two open subsets of a quasi-projective irreducible variety Z such that $Z = U_1 \cup U_2$. Assume that for some integer $a \geq 1$, $\text{cd}(U_i) \leq a$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $\text{cd}(U_1 \cap U_2) \leq a - 1$. Then $\text{cd}(Z) \leq a$.*

Now we briefly recall the definition and some basic facts on weighted projective spaces. Let $k[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ be the polynomial k -algebra in $n + 1$ variables $T_0, \dots, T_n$ (with $n \geq 1$). An $(n + 1)$ -uple $(e_0, \dots, e_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ of positive integers is called a *system of weights*. Given a system of weights $e = (e_0, \dots, e_n)$, grade $k[T_0, \dots, T_n]$ by the conditions $\deg(T_i) = e_i$, for every $i = 0, \dots, n$. In this way we get a finitely generated graded k -algebra (depending of $e = (e_0, \dots, e_n)$), and set

$$\mathbb{P}^n(e) := \mathbb{P}^n(e_0, \dots, e_n) := \text{Proj}(k[T_0, \dots, T_n]).$$

Then $\mathbb{P}^n(e)$ is a projective irreducible, normal variety of dimension n , with quotient singularities (hence Cohen-Macaulay), which is called the *weighted projective space of weights $e = (e_0, \dots, e_n)$* . A point of $\mathbb{P}^n(e)$ can be given by a collection $[a_0, \dots, a_n]$ of ordered $n + 1$ elements $a_0, \dots, a_n \in k$, not all of them zero. Another collection $[b_0, \dots, b_n]$ of $n + 1$ elements of k defines the same point of $\mathbb{P}^n(e)$ if and only if there exists a non-zero element $t \in k$ such that $b_i = a_i t^{e_i}$, for every $i = 0, \dots, n$. Of course, $\mathbb{P}^n(1, \dots, 1)$ coincides with the usual projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$. For the basic properties of weighted projective spaces see [6], or also [3].

Definition 1.8 (See [1], or also [2], page 62). Let $\mathbb{P}^n(e)$ be the weighted projective space of weights $e = (e_0, \dots, e_n)$, and let $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(e, e) = \text{Proj}(k[T_0, \dots, T_n, T'_0, \dots, T'_n])$ be the weighted projective space of weights $(e, e) = (e_0, \dots, e_n; e_0, \dots, e_n)$, where $T_0, \dots, T_n, T'_0, \dots, T'_n$ are $2n + 2$ independent indeterminates over k such that $\deg(T_i) = \deg(T'_i) = e_i$, $i = 0, \dots, n$. Then the canonical inclusions

$$k[T_0, \dots, T_n] \subset k[T_0, \dots, T_n, T'_0, \dots, T'_n] \quad \text{and} \quad k[T'_0, \dots, T'_n] \subset k[T_0, \dots, T_n, T'_0, \dots, T'_n]$$

are homomorphisms of graded k -algebras which define two rational maps

$$\pi_n^i(e): \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(e, e) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(e), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

In fact, $\pi_n^1(e)$ is defined precisely in the complement of $L_1^n(e) := V_+(T_0, \dots, T_n)$ and $\pi_n^2(e)$ – in the complement of $L_2^n(e) := V_+(T'_0, \dots, T'_n)$. Then $\pi_n^1(e)$ and $\pi_n^2(e)$ yield the rational map

$$\pi_n(e): \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(e, e) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(e)$$

which is defined precisely in the open subset $U_n(e) := \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(e, e) \setminus (L_1^n(e) \cup L_2^n(e))$, with $L_1^n(e) \cap L_2^n(e) = \emptyset$. In weighted coordinates the rational map $\pi_n(e)$ is defined by

$$\pi_n(e)([t_0, \dots, t_n, t'_0, \dots, t'_n]) = ([t_0, \dots, t_n], [t'_0, \dots, t'_n]),$$

$\forall [t_0, \dots, t_n, t'_0, \dots, t'_n] \in \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(e, e)$. We call the morphism $\pi_n(e): U_n(e) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(e)$ the *weighted join construction*, generalizing the usual join construction used by Deligne in [5] to simplify the proof of Fulton-Hansen connectivity theorem. If $e = (1, \dots, 1)$ we shall simply write L_i^n for $L_i^n(1, \dots, 1)$, $i = 1, 2$, π_n for $\pi_n(1, \dots, 1)$ and U_n for $U_n(1, \dots, 1)$.

There exists a canonical finite surjective morphism $g : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(e)$. Indeed, if $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj}(k[X_0, \dots, X_n])$ with $\deg(X_i) = 1$, for $i = 0, \dots, n$, and $\mathbb{P}^n(e) = \text{Proj}(k[T_0, \dots, T_n])$ with $\deg(T_j) = e_j$, for $j = 0, \dots, n$, then there is a graded homomorphism of graded rings $\varphi : k[T_0, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$, defined by $\varphi(T_i) := X_i^{e_i}$, for every $i = 0, \dots, n$, which yields the finite surjective morphism $g : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(e)$.

We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_n & \xrightarrow{g'} & U_n(e) \\ \pi_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_n(e) \\ \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n & \xrightarrow{g \times g} & \mathbb{P}^n(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(e) \end{array}$$

which is easily seen to be cartesian, and in particular, every fiber of $\pi_n(e)$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m = k \setminus \{0\}$. As is well known (and easy to see) the morphism π_n is a locally trivial $\mathbb{G}_m$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$. In particular, π_n is flat, whence by [17], Proposition 2.7, an open morphism.

Lemma 1.9 *Consider the following cartesian diagram of algebraic varieties:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y' \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ X & \xrightarrow{\delta} & Y \end{array}$$

with δ a proper surjective morphism. If β is an open morphism, then γ is also open.

Proof. Since δ is proper and surjective, so is α . Let V be an open subset of Y' . We want to prove that $\gamma(V)$ is open in Y . To this extent observe that since the above diagram is cartesian one has $\beta(\alpha^{-1}(V)) = \delta^{-1}(\gamma(V))$. Moreover, since δ is proper and surjective, the topology on Y is the quotient topology of X . Thus $\gamma(V)$ is open in Y if and only if $\delta^{-1}(\gamma(V))$ is open in X . But $\delta^{-1}(\gamma(V)) = \beta(\alpha^{-1}(V))$ is an open subset of X because V is open in Y' and β is an open morphism by hypothesis. $\square$

Corollary 1.10 *The morphism $\pi_n(e) : U_n(e) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(e)$ of Definition 1.8 is open.*

2 The connectedness theorem

Consider the systems of positive weights $e = (e_0, \dots, e_m)$, $h = (h_0, \dots, h_n)$, with $m, n \geq 1$, and the weighted projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^m(e) = \text{Proj}(k[T_0, \dots, T_m])$ and $\mathbb{P}^n(h) = \text{Proj}(k[U_0, \dots, U_n])$, with $T_0, \dots, T_m$ and $U_0, \dots, U_n$ independent indeterminates of weights $\deg(T_i) = e_i$, $i = 0, \dots, m$, and $\deg(U_j) = h_j$, $j = 0, \dots, n$. According to the previous section we also consider $\mathbb{P}^{2m+1}(e, e) = \text{Proj}(k[T_0, \dots, T_m, T'_0, \dots, T'_m])$ and $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(h, h) = \text{Proj}(k[U_0, \dots, U_n, U'_0, \dots, U'_n])$.

Denote by $X_{13} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^m(e)$ (resp. $X_{24} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(h) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$) the image of $X' = f(X)$ under the projection p_{13} of $P \times P = \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h) \times \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$ onto $\mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^m(e)$ (resp. under the projection p_{24} of $P \times P$ onto $\mathbb{P}^n(h) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$). Then we prove the following:

Theorem 2.1 *In the above notation let $P = \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$ be the product of the weighted projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^m(e)$ and $\mathbb{P}^n(h)$ over an algebraically closed field k , let Δ be the diagonal of $P \times P$ and set $a := \max\{m + \dim(X_{24}), n + \dim(X_{13})\}$. If $\dim(f(X)) \geq a$ then $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is non-empty, and if $\dim(f(X)) > a$ then $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is connected.*

Proof. Set $X' := f(X) \subseteq P \times P$ and consider the Stein factorization of $f = f_2 \circ f_1$, with $f_1 : X \rightarrow W$ a proper surjective morphism with connected fibers and $f_2 : W \rightarrow X'$ a finite morphism (see [12], III). Thus we may assume that f is a finite morphism.

According to the notation of the previous section we set

$$U_m(e) := \mathbb{P}^{2m+1}(e, e) \setminus (L_1^m(e) \cup L_2^m(e)) \quad \text{and} \quad U_n(h) := \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(h, h) \setminus (L_1^n(h) \cup L_2^n(h)).$$

Since $T_i - T'_i$ (respectively $U_j - U'_j$) is a homogeneous element of degree e_i , $i = 0, \dots, m$ (respectively h_j , $j = 0, \dots, n$), it makes sense to consider also the closed subschemes

$$H_m(e) := V_+(T_0 - T'_0, \dots, T_m - T'_m) \quad \text{and} \quad H_n(h) := V_+(U_0 - U'_0, \dots, U_n - U'_n)$$

of $\mathbb{P}^{2m+1}(e, e)$ and $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(h, h)$ respectively. Clearly $H_m(e) \subseteq U_m(e)$ and $H_n(h) \subseteq U_n(h)$, whence

$$H_m(e) \times H_n(h) \subseteq U := U_m(e) \times U_n(h) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2m+1}(e, e) \times \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(h, h).$$

Consider the rational map

$$\pi : \mathbb{P}^{2m+1}(e, e) \times \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(h, h) \dashrightarrow P \times P = \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h) \times \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi([t_0, \dots, t_m, t'_0, \dots, t'_m], [u_0, \dots, u_n, u'_0, \dots, u'_n]) &= \\ &= ([t_0, \dots, t_m], [u_0, \dots, u_n], [t'_0, \dots, t'_m], [u'_0, \dots, u'_n]), \end{aligned}$$

$\forall ([t_0, \dots, t_m, t'_0, \dots, t'_m], [u_0, \dots, u_n, u'_0, \dots, u'_n]) \in \mathbb{P}^{2m+1}(e, e) \times \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(h, h)$. Actually, modulo the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h) \times \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h) \cong \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h),$$

π is nothing but the product $\pi_m(e) \times \pi_n(h)$ of the rational maps $\pi_m(e) : \mathbb{P}^{2m+1}(e, e) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^m(e)$ and $\pi_n(h) : \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(h, h) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(h) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$ of Definition 1.8. Then the map π is defined precisely in the open subset $U = U_m(e) \times U_n(h)$, and all the fibers of π are isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ (Corollary 1.10). Furthermore, with arguments similar to the proof of Corollary 1.10 it is easy to see that the morphism $\pi : U \rightarrow P \times P$ is open. It is clear the restriction map $\pi|_{(H_m(e) \times H_n(h))}$ defines an isomorphism $H_m(e) \times H_n(h) \cong \Delta$.

Set $X' = f(X) \subseteq P \times P$ and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_{X'} \cap (H_m(e) \times H_n(h)) & \hookrightarrow & U_{X'} & \hookrightarrow & U \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{X'} & & \downarrow \pi \\ X' \cap \Delta & \hookrightarrow & X' & \hookrightarrow & P \times P \end{array}$$

with $U_{X'} := \pi^{-1}(X')$ and $\pi_{X'} := \pi|_{U_{X'}}$ (the restriction of π to $U_{X'}$).

Set $U'_{X'} := U_{X_{13}} \times U_{X_{24}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2m+1}(e, e) \times \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(h, h)$. Since X' is a closed subset of $X_{13} \times X_{24}$, $U_{X'}$ is a closed subset of $U'_{X'}$ of dimension $d := \dim(X') + 2$. Moreover, the theorem on the dimension of fibers shows that the hypothesis that $\dim(f(X)) > a$ (resp. if $\dim(f(X)) \geq a$) implies

$$\dim(X_{13}) > m \text{ and } \dim(X_{24}) > n \text{ (resp. } \dim(X_{13}) \geq m \text{ and } \dim(X_{24}) \geq n) \quad (1)$$

Let Y_{13} be the closure of $U_{X_{13}}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2m+1}(e, e)$ and Y_{24} the closure of $U_{X_{24}}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(h, h)$. Then $Y_{13} \times Y_{24}$ is the closure of $U'_{X'} = U_{X_{13}} \times U_{X_{24}}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2m+1}(e, e) \times \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(h, h)$. Moreover, $U'_{X'} \cap (H_m(e) \times H_n(h)) = (Y_{13} \times Y_{24}) \cap (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))$ and $(Y_{13} \times Y_{24}) \setminus (H_m(e) \times H_n(h)) = V'_1 \cup V'_2$, with $V'_1 := (Y_{13} \setminus H_m(e)) \times Y_{24}$ and $V'_2 := Y_{13} \times (Y_{24} \setminus H_n(h))$. Using Lemma 1.5 and (1) we get

$$\text{cd}(V'_1) \leq m + \dim(Y_{24}) = m + 1 + \dim(X_{24}), \quad \text{cd}(V'_2) \leq n + \dim(Y_{13}) = n + 1 + \dim(X_{13}).$$

On the other hand, using our hypotheses, the equality $V'_1 \cap V'_2 = (Y_{13} \setminus H_m(e)) \times (Y_{24} \setminus H_n(h))$ and Lemma 1.5, we get

$$\text{cd}(V'_1 \cap V'_2) \leq m + n < \max\{m + 1 + \dim(X_{24}), n + 1 + \dim(X_{13})\} = a + 1.$$

Therefore by Lemma 1.7 we get

$$\text{cd}((Y_{13} \times Y_{24}) \setminus (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))) \leq a + 1.$$

Let Y denote the closure of $U_{X'}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2m+1}(e, e) \times \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}(h, h)$. Clearly, $\dim(Y) = \dim(U_{X'}) = d$. Since $Y \setminus (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))$ is a closed subset of $(Y_{13} \times Y_{24}) \setminus (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))$, we get $\text{cd}(Y \setminus (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))) \leq \text{cd}((Y_{13} \times Y_{24}) \setminus (H_m(e) \times H_n(h)))$, and thus the last inequality yields

$$\text{cd}(Y \setminus (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))) \leq a + 1. \quad (2)$$

Therefore (2) yields

$$\text{cd}(Y \setminus (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))) \leq \begin{cases} d - 2, & \text{if } \dim(f(X)) > a \\ d - 1, & \text{if } \dim(f(X)) \geq a \end{cases}. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, consider the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X} = X \times_{X'} U_{X'} & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} & U_{X'} \\ \pi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{X'} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \end{array}$$

Claim 1. The morphism π_X is open.

To prove the claim we first observe that it is obvious if $e = (1, \dots, 1)$ and $h = (1, \dots, 1)$, i.e. if P is a product of ordinary projective spaces. In fact, in this case the morphism $\pi: U \rightarrow P \times P$ is a locally trivial $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -bundle, and in particular, π is a flat morphism.

It follows that π_X is also flat because flatness is preserved under base change, whence π_X is open (see e.g. [17], Proposition 2.7). In the general case, let $g(e): \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m(e)$ and $g(h): \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(h)$ be the canonical finite morphisms, and set $P_1 := \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$, $u := g(e) \times g(h) \times g(e) \times g(h): P_1 \times P_1 \rightarrow P \times P$, $X'_1 := u^{-1}(X')$ and $u_{X'} := u|_{X'_1}: X'_1 \rightarrow X'$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \overline{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}_1} & U_{X'_1} & & \\
 \downarrow \pi_{X'_1} & \searrow & \downarrow \pi_{X'_1} & & \\
 X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X'_1 & & \\
 \downarrow u_X & & \downarrow u' & & \\
 \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} & U_{X'} & & \\
 \downarrow \pi_X & \searrow & \downarrow \pi_{X'} & & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' & &
 \end{array}$$

in which $\overline{X} := X \times_{X'} U_{X'}$, $X_1 := X \times_{X'} X'_1$, $U_{X'_1} := U_{X'} \times_{X'} X'_1$, and $\overline{X}_1 := X_1 \times_{X'_1} U_{X'_1}$. An easy diagram chase shows that the left vertical square is cartesian, i.e. $\overline{X}_1 = \overline{X} \times_X X_1$. Now, since P_1 is a product of ordinary projective spaces, by what we have said above, the morphism $\pi_{X'_1}$ is flat and hence open. Then the fact that π_X is open follows from Lemma 1.9 applied to the cartesian left vertical square of the above diagram (taking into account that u_X is a finite surjective morphism). Thus claim 1 is proved.

Claim 2. The variety $\overline{X}$ is irreducible.

Claim 2 follows from Lemma 1.6 applied to the open morphism $\pi_X: \overline{X} \rightarrow X$, whose fibers are all isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$, and in particular, irreducible.

By claim 2, passing to the normalization of the irreducible variety $\overline{X}$ we may assume that $\overline{X}$ is normal. Let $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ be the normalization of $Y = \overline{U}_{X'}$ in the field $K(\overline{X})$ of rational functions of $\overline{X}$ (which makes sense because the dominant morphism $\overline{X} \rightarrow Y$ yields the finite field extension $K(Y) = K(U_{X'}) \rightarrow K(\overline{X})$). Then we get a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{i'} & Z \\
 \downarrow \overline{f} & & \downarrow g \\
 U_{X'} & \xrightarrow{i} & Y
 \end{array}$$

in which i and i' are open immersions and g is a finite surjective morphism. Since $Y \cap (H_m(e) \times H_n(h)) = U_{X'} \cap (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))$, then

$$\overline{f}^{-1}(U_{X'} \cap (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))) = g^{-1}(U_{X'} \cap (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))) = g^{-1}(Y \cap (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))).$$

Then by (3) and Corollary 1.4 we infer that

$$g^{-1}(Y \cap (H_m(e) \times H_n(h))) = \bar{f}^{-1}(U_{X'} \cap (H_m(e) \times H_n(h)))$$

is connected if $\dim(f(X)) > a$ (resp. non-empty if $\dim(f(X)) \geq a$). Finally, since $f^{-1}(\Delta) \cong \bar{f}^{-1}(U_{X'} \cap (H_m(e) \times H_n(h)))$, we conclude the proof of Theorem 2.1. $\square$

Corollary 2.2 *Let $f : X \rightarrow P \times P$ be a morphism as in Theorem 2.1 (i.e. with X a complete irreducible variety and $P := \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$, $m \geq n \geq 1$) such that $\dim(f(X)) > 2m + n$ (resp. $\dim(f(X)) \geq 2m + n$). Then $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is connected (resp. non-empty).*

Proof. Since $\dim(X_{13}) \leq 2m$, $\dim(X_{24}) \leq 2n$ and $m \geq n$, then $a \leq \max\{2m+n, 2n+m\} = 2m+n$, and the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.1. $\square$

We conclude with a few applications of Corollary 2.2 (whose proofs are as in [9]).

Corollary 2.3 *Let $f_i : Y_i \rightarrow P = \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$, $i = 1, 2$, be two proper morphisms from the complete irreducible varieties Y_1 and Y_2 , with $m \geq n \geq 1$. Assume that $\dim(f_1(Y_1)) + \dim(f_2(Y_2)) > 2m + n$ (resp. $\dim(f_1(Y_1)) + \dim(f_2(Y_2)) \geq 2m + n$). Then $Y_1 \times_P Y_2$ is connected (resp. non-empty). In particular, if Y_1 and Y_2 are closed irreducible subvarieties of $P = \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$ with $\dim(Y_1) + \dim(Y_2) > 2m + n$ (resp. $\dim(Y_1) + \dim(Y_2) \geq 2m + n$), then $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ is connected (resp. non-empty).*

Corollary 2.4 *Let Y be a projective irreducible variety and let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$ be a finite unramified morphism, with $m \geq n \geq 1$. If $\dim(Y) > \frac{2m+n}{2}$ then f is a closed embedding.*

Corollary 2.5 *Every closed irreducible subvariety Y of $\mathbb{P}^m(e) \times \mathbb{P}^n(h)$ ($m \geq n \geq 1$) of codimension $< \frac{n}{2}$ is algebraically simply connected, i.e. every finite étale morphism $u : Y' \rightarrow Y$, with Y' connected, is an isomorphism.*

References

- [1] L. Bădescu, Algebraic Barth-Lefschetz theorems, *Nagoya Math. J.* **142** (1996), 17–38.
- [2] L. Bădescu, *Projective Geometry and Formal Geometry*, Monografie Matematyczne Vol. 65, Birkhäuser, 2004.
- [3] M.C. Beltrametti and L. Robbiano, Introduction to the theory of weighted projective spaces, *Expo. Math.* **4** (1986), 111–162.
- [4] O. Debarre, Théorèmes de connexité pour les produits d'espaces projectives et les grassmanniennes, *Amer. J. Math.* **118** (1996), 1347–1367.
- [5] P. Deligne, *Le groupe fondamental du complément d'une courbe plane n'ayant que des points double ordinaires*, In: Séminaire Bourbaki, No. 543, Paris, November, 1979.
- [6] I. Dolgachev, *Weighted projective varieties*, In: Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 956, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1982, 34–71.
- [7] G. Faltings, Formale Geometrie und homogene Räume, *Invent. Math.* **64** (1981), 123–165.
- [8] W. Fulton and J. Hansen, A connectedness theorem for proper varieties with applications to intersections and singularities, *Annals of Math.* **110** (1979), 159–166.

- [9] W. Fulton and R. Lazarsfeld, *Connectivity and its applications in algebraic geometry*, In: Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 862, Springer-Verlag, 1981, 26–92.
- [10] N. Goldstein, Ampleness and connectedness in complex G/P , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 274 (1982), 361–373.
- [11] A. Grothendieck, *Local Cohomology*, Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 41, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [12] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. IHES, Vol. 8, Bures-sur-Yvette, 1960–1961.
- [13] J. Hansen, A connectedness theorem for flagmanifolds and Grassmannians, *Amer. J. Math.* 105 (1983), 633–639.
- [14] R. Hartshorne, *Ample Subvarieties of Algebraic Varieties*, Lect. Notes in Math. 156, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [15] R. Hartshorne, Cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties, *Annals of Math.* 88 (1968), 403–450.
- [16] P. Ionescu and C. Voica, Models of rationally connected manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 55 (2003), 143–164.
- [17] J.P. Jouanolou, *Théorèmes de Bertini et Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, 1983.
- [18] S. Kleiman, On the vanishing of $H^n(X, F)$ for an n -dimensional variety, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (1967), 940–944.

Lucian Bădescu
Università degli Studi di Genova
Dipartimento di Matematica
Via Dodecaneso 35, 16146 Genova, Italy
e-mail: badescu@dima.unige.it

Flavia Repetto
Università degli Studi di Milano
Dipartimento di Matematica
Via Saldini 50, 20133 Milano, Italy
e-mail: flaviarepetto@yahoo.it